

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

AARON BAJEMA,	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.
vs.	§	
	§	
ASSET ACCEPTANCE, LLC	§	
Defendant	§	

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

COMES NOW Aaron Bajema, hereinafter referred to as Plaintiff complaining against Asset Acceptance, LLC hereinafter referred to as Defendant and for cause of action would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION AND JURISDICTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual plaintiff for Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA"), the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act, Chapter 392 (hereinafter "TDCPA") and the Texas Business and Commerce Code, Subchapter E, Chapter 17, (hereinafter "DTPA"), which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices. Plaintiff seeks to recover monetary damages for Defendant's violation of the FDCPA, the TDCPA and the DTPA and to have an Order or injunction issued by this Court preventing

Defendant from continuing its behavior in violation of the FDCPA. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and venue is proper before this Court as Plaintiff and/or Defendant reside in this District and/or the complained of actions occurred in this District. Service may be made upon Defendant in any other district in which it may be found pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2).

2. Plaintiff, Aaron Bajema, is a natural person residing in Harris County. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and the Texas Business and Commerce Code section 17.50(a)(1).

3. Defendant, Asset Acceptance, LLC, is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting consumer “debts” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(5) by use of the mails and telephone, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.

4. Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

FIRST COUNT

5. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding consumer debt.

Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA in multiple ways, including but not limited to:

- a. Causing Plaintiffs telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff (§ 1692d(5));
- b. Communicating with Plaintiff after having received a letter from Plaintiff with a request to cease and desist all collection contacts or a statement that Plaintiff refuses to pay the debt, specifically, on March 25, 2010, Defendants collector, Ms. Payne, contacting Plaintiff via phone and admitting during that phone conversation that they had previously received a cease and desist letter on Plaintiffs behalf and that they should not be calling (§ 1692c(c));
- c. Disclosing to a third party the existence of the debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, specifically, on March 25, 2010, Defendants collector, Ms. Payne, contacting Plaintiffs residence and discussing the account in detail with Plaintiffs roommate (§ 1692b(2) & § 1692c(b)).

6. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

SECOND COUNT
VIOLATION OF THE TEXAS DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

7. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.
8. Defendant violated the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act in one or more of the following ways:
 - a. Using false representations or deceptive means to collect a debt or obtain information concerning a consumer, including (Tex Fin Code § 392.304(a)(19));
 - b. Causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously, or making repeated or continuous telephone calls, with the intent to harass Plaintiff (Tex Fin Code § 392.302(4)).
9. As a result of Defendant's violations as aforesaid, Plaintiff suffered a substantial disruption in her daily routine thus warranting an award of emotional and/or mental anguish damages.
10. Defendant's aforementioned statutory violations also constitute an invasion of Plaintiff's right to privacy, causing additional injury to Plaintiff's feelings, mental anguish and distress.
11. As a result of the above statutory violations and invasion of privacy, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, exemplary damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

THIRD COUNT

VIOLATION OF THE TEXAS DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT

12. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.
13. This suit is brought, in part, under the authority of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.41 *et seq.*, commonly known as the Deceptive Trade Practices and Plaintiff Protection Act and cited in this petition as the "DTPA".
14. Defendant was given notice in writing of the claims made in this Petition more than sixty days before this suit was filed in the manner and form required by DTPA §17.505 (a).
15. Defendant made numerous material misrepresentations in an attempt to collect the purported consumer debt as detailed above.
16. Defendant knew or should have known that its representations were false and/or acted in reckless disregard for the truth or falsity of its representations.
17. Defendant's actions were done maliciously and in willful, wanton and reckless disregard for the rights of the Plaintiff.
18. Defendant's actions as detailed above constitute a violation of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.41.

19. The conduct described above has been and is a producing and proximate cause of damages to Plaintiff. Plaintiff's damages include: Statutory damages of at least \$100.00 per violation, actual/economic damages, emotional and/or mental anguish damages, exemplary/punitive damages, and Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs.

20. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks an award of discretionary additional damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed knowingly; or an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic and mental anguish damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed intentionally.

21. Plaintiff seeks reasonable and necessary attorney's fees in this case through the time judgment is entered and for any post-trial or post-judgment appeals, to be detailed through a statement of services submitted at the appropriate time.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff requests that Defendant be cited to appear and answer herein and upon final hearing hereof, Plaintiff has judgment of and against Defendant as follows:

- (a) a declaratory judgment be entered that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- (b) an award of actual damages;
- (c) an award of statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;

- (d) an award of costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and,
- (e) a declaratory judgment be entered that Defendant's conduct violated the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act;
- (f) an award for all actual damages, exemplary damages, emotional/mental anguish damages and all statutory additional damages, all attorneys fees, costs of court, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest lawful rates.
- (g) seeks an award of discretionary additional damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed knowingly; or an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic and mental anguish damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed intentionally.
- (h) such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Dennis R. Kurz
Dennis R. Kurz
Texas State Bar # 24068183
Attorney in Charge for Plaintiff

WEISBERG & MEYERS, L.L.C.
108 E. 46th Street
Austin, TX 78751
(888) 595-9111 ext. 412
(866) 842-3303 (fax)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on June 14th, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, using the electronic case filing system of the court.

/s/ Dennis R. Kurz
Dennis R. Kurz